Applicant : C. Brian Atkins
Serial No. : 10/675,724
Filed : Sep. 30, 2003
Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1
Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008
Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

Page : 2 of 17

Amendments to the Claims

The following Listing of Claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A <u>computer-implemented</u> method <u>of</u> producing a layout of objects on a page, comprising:

generating different tree structures each having at least one node and at least one leaf, wherein each node corresponds to a respective partition of the space page and each leaf defines a relative location of a respective one of the objects on the page, wherein each object has a respective fixed aspect ratio and is associated with a respective relative area proportion that has a value such that a ratio of the respective relative area proportion to a respective amount of area on the page that is occupied by the object in the layout is equal to an identical constant value for all the objects;

for each of the tree structures, characterizing a respective bounding box for each respective node in the tree structure based on the respective aspect ratios and the respective relative area proportions associated with all of the objects in all subtrees below the respective node, wherein each bounding box includes all of the objects in any-all subtrees below the respective node;

for each of the tree structures, assigning regions within the space-page for each node in the tree structure in accordance with the respective bounding box associated with the node;

for each of the tree structures, determining a respective score that comprises a measure of available space on the page that is unoccupied by the objects arranged on the page in accordance with partitions of the page defined by the tree structure;

selecting one of the tree structures based on the determined scores; and producing a layout of the objects on the page based on the selected tree structure.

Applicant: C. Brian Atkins
Serial No.: 10/675,724
Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008
Filed: Sep. 30, 2003
Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1
Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008
Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

Page : 3 of 17

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method of claim 1, wherein each object has a fixed aspect ratio and a relative area proportion associated therewith, and said the characterizing comprises:

for each of the tree structures, establishing for each node of the tree structure a respective relative area proportion and a respective aspect ratio for each node as a function of the relative area proportions and the aspect ratios of all children of the node, wherein for each node a respective ratio of the respective relative area proportion to a respective amount of area on the page that is occupied by the node in the layout is equal to an identical constant value for all the nodes.

Claim 3 (currently amended): A <u>computer-implemented</u> method for-of producing a layout of locating objects by assembling a layout of objects withinon a spacepage, comprising:

generating a tree structure having at least one node and at least one leaf, where each leaf corresponds to one of the objects;

characterizing associating a respective bounding box for with each node in the tree structure, wherein aeach bounding box for each node includes all objects in any subtree below the associated node, each object has a respective fixed aspect ratio and is associated with a respective relative area proportion that has a value such that a ratio of the respective relative area proportion to a respective amount of area on the page that is occupied by the object in the layout is equal to an identical constant value for all the objects associated therewith, and said characterizing the associating comprises

establishing a <u>respective</u> relative area proportion and <u>a respective</u> aspect ratio for each node as a function of <u>the</u> relative area proportions and <u>the</u> aspect ratios of <u>all</u> children of the node, and

prior to the establishing, adjusting relative area proportions of at least one child of each node and all children thereof so that predetermined dimensions of the children are equal, performed prior to said establishing; and

assigning regions within of the spacepage for each node in the tree structure in accordance with the bounding box associated with the node; and

Applicant : C. Brian Atkins Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1

 Serial No. : 10/675,724
 Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008

 Filed : Sep. 30, 2003
 Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

producing a layout of the objects on the page in accordance with the assignment of

: 4 of 17

regions to the nodes.

Page

Claim 4 (currently amended): The method of claim 2, wherein said establishing comprises for each of the tree structures nodes:

determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective relative area proportion for each child of the noderight and left child relative area proportions and aspect ratios; and

determining performance metrics for left and right children and comparing those performance metrics, and

determining node the respective relative area proportion and the respective aspect ratio of the node based on compared performance metrics and left and right childthe respective relative area proportions and the respective aspect ratios of the children of the node and an orientation of the page partition corresponding to the node.

Claim 5 (currently amended): The method of claim 3, wherein said the adjusting comprises for each of the nodes:

determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective relative area proportion for each child of the node right and left child relative area proportions and aspect ratios;

determining a factor for the node based on left and right childthe respective relative area proportions and the respective aspect ratios of the children of the node and an orientation of the page partition corresponding to the node; and

multiplying the respective relative area proportions for of a selected child of the node one child and all its children of the selected child by the factor.

Claim 6 (previously presented): The method of claim 1, further comprising iteratively performing the generating, the characterizing, the assigning, the determining, and the selecting for each of the different tree structures in sequence.

 Applicant : C. Brian Atkins
 Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1

 Serial No. : 10/675,724
 Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008

 Filed : Sep. 30, 2003
 Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

Page : 5 of 17

Claim 7 (original): The method of claim 1, further comprising reassigning objects to leaves within the tree structure after said characterizing and assigning, and repeating said characterizing and assigning for the reassigned objects.

Claim 8 (previously presented): A method of producing a layout of fixed aspect ratio objects on a page, comprising:

generating a binary tree structure comprising

a plurality of leaves, wherein each of the leaves corresponds to a respective one of the objects, and

a plurality of nodes including a root node, wherein each of the nodes corresponds to a respective partition of the page;

for each of the nodes in the binary tree structure, determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective area of a respective bounding box containing all bounding boxes respectively determined for all nodes and leaves branching from the node; and

producing a layout of the objects on the page based on the bounding box determined for the root node.

Claim 9 (currently amended): The method of claim 8, wherein each object has is associated with a respective relative area proportion that has a value such that a ratio of the respective relative area proportion to a respective amount of area on the page that is occupied by the object in the layout is equal to an identical constant value for all the objects associated therewith, and said the determining comprises:

for each of the nodes in the binary tree structure, determining the a respective relative area proportion and a respective aspect ratio of the respective bounding box as a function of the respective aspect ratios and the respective relative area proportions of the objects associated with children of the node.

Claim 10 (currently amended): The method of claim 9, wherein said-the determining of the respective relative area proportion of each node further comprises adjusting the relative area proportion of each of the respective bounding boxes determined for at least one child of each of

Applicant : C. Brian Atkins Serial No. : 10/675,724 Filed : Sep. 30, 2003 Page : 6 of 17

Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1 Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008 Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

the nodes and all children thereof so that corresponding dimensions of the bounding boxes respectively determined for the children are equal.

Claim 11 (currently amended): The method of claim 9, wherein said determining of the respective relative area proportion of each node comprises:

determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective relative area proportion for each child of the noderight and left child relative area proportions and aspect ratios, and

determining performance metrics for left and right children and comparing those performance metrics; and

determining node the respective relative area proportion and the respective aspect ratio of the node based on compared performance metrics and left and right child the respective relative area proportions and the respective aspect ratios of the children of the node and an orientation of the page partition corresponding to the node.

Claim 12 (currently amended): The method of claim 10, wherein said the adjusting comprises for each of the nodes:

determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective relative area proportion for each child of the noderight and left child relative area proportions and aspect ratios;

determining a factor for the node based on left and right childrespective relative area proportions and the respective aspect ratios of the children of the node and an orientation of the page partition corresponding to the node; and

multiplying the respective relative area proportions for one child of a selected child of the node and all its the children of the selected child by the factor.

Claim 13 (currently amended): The method of claim 8, further comprising: scoring the binary tree structure subsequent to said locatingthe producing; generating a different binary tree structure;

performing said defining and locating the determining and the producing for each node in the different binary tree structure;

scoring the different binary tree structure; and

Applicant : C. Brian Atkins
Serial No. : 10/675,724
Filed : Sep. 30, 2003
Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1
Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008
Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

Page : 7 of 17

passing selecting the one of the binary tree structure and the different binary tree structure having a higher secrethat is scored higher.

Claim 14 (currently amended): The method of claim 8, further comprising reassigning objects to leaves within the tree structure after said defining and locatingthe determining and the producing, and repeating said defining and locatingthe determining and the producing for the reassigned objects.

Claim 15 (previously presented): A method of producing a layout of images in a predefined space on a page while maintaining aspect ratios associated with said images, the method comprising:

generating a binary tree structure comprising:

a plurality of nodes includes a root node, wherein each of the nodes corresponds
to a respective partition of the predefined space on the page, and
a plurality of leaves, wherein each of the leaves corresponds to a respective one of
the images and is associated with a respective one of the nodes;

for each of the nodes in the binary tree structure, determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective area of a respective bounding box containing all bounding boxes respectively determined for all leaves associated with the node; and

producing a layout of the images in the predefined space on the page based on the bounding box determined for the root node.

Claim 16 (currently amended): The method of claim 15, wherein each image has a fixed aspect ratio and is associated with a respective relative area proportion that has a value such that a ratio of the respective relative area proportion to a respective amount of area on the page that is occupied by the image in the layout is equal to an identical constant value for all the imagesassociated therewith, and said the determining comprises:

for each of the nodes in the binary tree structure, determining ascertaining the respective area of the respective bounding box as a function of the respective aspect ratios and the respective relative area proportions of the objects images associated with children of the node.

Applicant: C. Brian Atkins. Serial No.: 10/675,724 Filed: Sep. 30, 2003

Page

: 8 of 17

Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1 Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008 Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008

Claim 17 (previously presented): The method of claim 16, wherein said the determining of the respective relative area proportion of each node further comprises adjusting the relative area proportion of each of the respective bounding boxes determined for at least one child of each of the nodes and all children thereof so that corresponding dimensions of the bounding boxes respectively determined for the children are equal.

Claim 18 (currently amended): The method of claim 16, wherein said determining the ascertaining comprises for each of the nodes:

determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective relative area proportion for each child of the noderight and left child relative area proportions and aspect ratios; and

determining performance metrics for left and right children and comparing those performance metrics; and

determining node the respective relative area proportion and the respective aspect ratio of the node based on compared performance metrics and left and right child the respective relative area proportions and the respective aspect ratios of the children of the node and an orientation of the page partition corresponding to the node.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 17, wherein said-the adjusting comprises for each of the nodes:

determining a respective aspect ratio and a respective relative area proportion for each child of the noderight and left child relative area proportions and aspect ratios;

determining a factor for the node based on left and right child the respective relative area proportions and the respective aspect ratios of the children of the node and an orientation of the page partition corresponding to the node; and

multiplying the respective relative area proportions for one child of a selected child of the node and all its-the children of the selected child by the factor.

Claim 20 (currently amended): The method of claim 15, further comprising: generating a different binary tree structure;

Applicant: C: Brian Atkins
Serial No.: 10/675,724

Attorney's Docket No.: 200308888-1
Amendment dated Dec. 16, 2008

Filed : Sep. 30, 2003 Reply to Office action dated Sep. 16, 2008 Page : 9 of 17

performing said determining for each node in the different binary tree structure; and assigning to each of the binary tree structures a respective score that comprises a measure of available space on the page that is unoccupied by the images arranged on the page in accordance with partitions of the page defined by the tree structure; and

selecting one of the tree structures based on the <u>determined respective</u> scores <u>assigned to</u> the <u>binary tree structure</u>.

Claim 21 (currently amended): The method of claim 15, further comprising reassigning images to leaves within the binary tree structure after said characterizing and manipulating the determining and producing, and repeating said characterizing and manipulating the determining and the producing for the reassigned images.